ABSTRACT

The objective of this work is to analytically study the non-linear dynamics of beam flexures with a tip mass undergoing large deflections. Hamilton’s principal is utilized to derive the equations governing the non-linear vibrations of the cantilever beam and the associated boundary conditions. Then, using a single mode approximation, these non-linear partial differential equations are reduced to two coupled non-linear ordinary differential equations. These equations are solved analytically using combination of the method of multiple time scales and homotopy perturbation analysis. Parametric analytical expressions are presented for the time domain response of the beam around and far from its internal resonance state. These analytical results are compared with numerical ones to validate the accuracy of the proposed closed-form model. We expect that the qualitative and quantitative knowledge resulting from this effort will ultimately allow the analysis, optimization, and synthesis of flexure mechanisms for improved dynamic performance.

1. INTRODUCTION

Beam flexures are one of the most important building blocks in flexure mechanisms. Flexure mechanisms provide guided motion via elastic deformation, instead of employing sliding or rolling joints, and are used in a variety of applications that demand high precision, minimal assembly, long operating life, or design simplicity [1, 2]. Since they exhibit motion guidance as well as elastic behavior, flexure mechanisms are also well-suited for applications such as single- and multi-axis resonators, energy harvesting devices, and high-speed scanners, where dynamics is important.

Large motion range in flexure mechanisms implies large elastic deflections of the constituent beams, which, in turn, give rise to geometric non-linearities [1, 3]. Even though sometimes ignored, these non-linearities critically influence the dynamic characteristics of beams [4]. Depending on the application, the relevant dynamic characteristics could include vibrational mode shapes, flow of energy between modes, bandwidth or speed of response, dynamic range, command tracking, noise and disturbance sensitivity, closed-loop stability and robustness, etc. As a result, investigating the non-linear dynamical behavior of flexure mechanisms is of primary importance in their design.

In a flexure mechanism, the elastic motion provided via flexure beams is transferred to one or more moving stages, which can initially be modeled as concentrated masses. In fact, many flexure mechanisms can be represented as a system of point masses interconnected with beams. Therefore, a logical first step in investigating the non-linear dynamics of flexure mechanisms is to consider and understand the vibrational behavior of a simple beam with a tip mass at its end. Such a study is the focus of this paper.

In general, non-linearities may arise from the geometry of deformation or from material properties. Geometric non-linearity arises from arc-length conservation of the beam and large deformation curvatures due to which the linear relationship between displacement field and strains no longer holds. Material non-linearity occurs when the stresses are non-linear functions of strains [5].

Because of its long, slender geometry, a uniform-thickness planar beam flexure may be modeled using the Euler-Bernoulli beam theory. This theory assumes that plane cross-sections continue to remain plane and normal to the neutral axis after deformation [6], and has been successfully utilized to study the static, dynamic, and vibrational behavior of beams. In particular, large amplitude vibrations of beams have been extensively investigated both theoretically and experimentally in the literature. Crespo daSilva [7] formulated the non-linear differential equations of motion for Euler-Bernoulli beams experiencing flexure along two principal directions, along with torsion and extension. Furthermore, Crespo daSilva [8] presented a reduced-order analytical model for the non-linear dynamics of a class of flexible multi-beam structures. Nayfeh [9] modeled the non-linear transverse vibration of beams with properties that vary along the length. Zaretsky et al. [10] experimentally investigated the non-linear modal coupling in the response of cantilever beams.

The presence of a tip mass on the beam changes the differential equations governing its deflection. This is because the inertial force exerted on the beam due to the presence of a concentrated mass is a function of the deflection itself. Large amplitude vibrations of beams with tip mass have also been investigated in the literature. Hijmissen and Horssen analyzed the weakly damped transverse vibrations of a vertical beam with a tip mass [11]. Zavodney and Nayfeh studied the non-linear response of a slender beam carrying a lumped mass to a principal parametric excitation [12]. But the axial dynamics of the beam, which can become important at large deflections, was not considered in these formulations.

This paper presents an analytical investigation of the non-linear in-plane oscillations of a flexure beam with a tip mass, while including axial stretching. The Homotopy Perturbation Method (HPM) [13] is employed because it does not depend upon the assumption of small parameters in the non-linear equations and takes full advantage of the traditional
perturbation methods as well as homotopy techniques. HPM has been used to investigate non-linear vibrations of beams in the recent literature. For example Moeenfard et al. used a combination of HPM and the modified Lindstedt-Poincare technique to analyze non-linear free vibrations of Timoshenko micro-beams [14]. In this paper, HPM is utilized in conjunction with the multiple time scale perturbation method to solve the non-linear dynamics of a beam with tip mass.

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

The beam with tip-mass considered in this analysis is shown in Figure 1. The dashed line represents the undeformed state, while the solid line represents a general deformed state. The gravitational field, if any, is assumed normal to the plane and therefore does not affect the planar analysis considered here.

![Figure 1 Schematic view of a beam with a tip mass](image)

As the first step, the equations of motion and boundary conditions corresponding to the transverse and axial vibrations of a slender beam will be derived using the generalized Hamilton’s principle. In the Euler–Bernoulli beam theory, plane cross-sections remain plane and perpendicular to the neutral axis after deformation, which implies that distortions due to shear are neglected. These assumptions are applicable for long and slender beams, with length much greater than the thickness [6]. Since the beam undergoes large deflections, the non-linear strain expression is used for calculating its strain energy.

The axial strain at a differential element at distance \( z \) along the \( Z \) direction, from the neutral axis may be expressed as follows [15]:

\[
ev_{a} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 - z \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right)
\]

(1)

where \( u \) and \( w \) are the displacements along \( X \) and \( Z \) axes, respectively.

Using equation (1), the strain energy of the beam assuming linear elastic material properties would be

\[
\pi = \frac{E}{2} \int_{V} \int \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right) \, dA \, dx = \frac{E}{2} \int_{V} \int \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right) \, dA \, dx + \frac{E A}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right) \, dx
\]

(2)

where \( l \) is the un-deformed length of the beam, \( A \) is the area of the cross section, and \( I \) is the second moment of the area of the cross section about the neutral axis.

In long slender beams where \( u(x,t) = O(w(x,t)^2) \), the axial inertia of the beam can be ignored compared with the concentrated inertial loads applied at the tip of the beam [5]. Assuming that axial damping is also negligible, the axial strain \( \epsilon_{a} \) would remain constant along the neutral axis of the beam. In such a condition, the potential energy given in equation (2) can be simplified as

\[
\pi = \frac{E A}{2l} \left( \int_{0}^{l} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right) \, dx \right)^2 + \frac{EI}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 \, dx
\]

(3)

It can be shown that for an infinitesimal element of the beam, the ratio of the rotational kinetic energy to the translational kinetic energy is approximately of the order of \((h/l)^2\), where \( h \) is the in-plane thickness of the beam. Since for a long and slender beam \( h \ll l \), the rotational kinetic energy may be ignored [5, 6]. Additionally, since in a planar beam flexure, \( u(x,t) \) is approximately two orders of magnitude smaller than \( w(x,t) \), i.e. \( u(x,t) = O(w(x,t)^2) \), the axial kinetic energy of a beam element is at least four orders of magnitude smaller than its transverse kinematic energy, and therefore may also be ignored [5]. Thus, the total kinetic energy is simply given by:

\[
T = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 \rho A \, dx + \frac{M}{2} \left( \frac{\partial (u(l,t))}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \frac{EI}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 \, dx
\]

(4)

where \( \rho \) is the material density and \( M \) is the tip mass.

Assuming that the beam vibrates in viscously damped media and assuming that the axial damping is negligible with respect to transverse damping, the virtual external work done on the beam by distributed damping loads would be

\[
\delta W_{r} = -\int_{0}^{l} c_{r} \left( \frac{\partial w(x,t)}{\partial x} \right) \delta w(x,t) \, dx
\]

(5)

where \( c_{r} \) is the damping coefficient per unit length in the transverse direction.

Now using the generalized Hamilton’s principle, the equations governing the non-linear dynamics of a beam undergoing large in-plane motions and the related geometric boundary conditions are obtained as follows.

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( E I \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right) - \frac{E A}{T} \left( u(l,t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \, dx \right) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \rho A \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( M \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right) f(l)
\]

(6)

\[
M \frac{d^2 u(l,t)}{dt^2} + E A \left( u(l,t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \, dx \right) = 0
\]

(7)
In equation (6), \( \hat{f}(x) \) is the Dirac delta function which is used to model the concentrated inertial load at \( x = l \). For convenience, the following dimensionless variables are introduced.

\[
\hat{x} = \frac{x}{l} \quad ; \quad \hat{w} = \frac{w}{l} \quad ; \quad \hat{u} = \frac{u}{l} \quad ; \quad \hat{t} = t \sqrt{\frac{E.I}{\rho.A.l^4}}
\]

(9)

By substituting these dimensionless quantities into equations (6) and (7), dropping the hats, assuming that %E is constant with respect to coordinate \( x \), and assuming \( \rho.A \) and \( M \) are constant with respect to time, the following equations may be derived:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} - \sigma_1 \left( u(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \, dx \right) & + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + \sigma_2 \frac{\partial^2 w(x,t)}{\partial t^2} \hat{f}(1) = 0 \\
\lambda_1 \frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2} + \left( u(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \, dx \right) & = 0 
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

(11)

where \( u(t) \) is the normalized axial displacement of the tip mass and

\[
\sigma_1 = \frac{Al^2}{I} \quad ; \quad \sigma_2 = \frac{M}{\rho.A.I} \quad ; \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{M}{\rho.A.I l^2} \quad ; \quad C_i = \frac{c_i l^2}{\sqrt{\rho.A.E.I}}
\]

(12)

(13)

The first mode of a typical system is generally the most important one. When the system is excited by a broadband signal, most of the input excitation energy is injected into this first mode. Assuming this to be the case for the system being considered, one may employ the Galerkin projection method [5]. Accordingly, the response of the system to an initial disturbance can be assumed to be as follows:

\[
w(x,t) = \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(1)} w(t)
\]

(14)

Here, \( w(t) \) is the transverse displacement of the beam tip. Furthermore, \( \varphi(x) \) is the first linear undamped transversal vibrational mode of the system. \( \varphi(x) \) can be used as the basis function for describing the non-linear behavior of the system. For a beam with a tip mass, \( \varphi(x) \) is given by [6]:

\[
\varphi(x) = \left\{ \cos(\beta x) - \cosh(\beta x) \right\} - \frac{\cos(\beta) + \cosh(\beta)}{\sin(\beta) + \sinh(\beta)} \left\{ \sin(\beta x) - \sinh(\beta x) \right\}
\]

(15)

In this equation, \( \beta \) is the absolute value of the smallest positive root of equation (16).

\[
1 + \frac{1}{\cos(\beta) \cosh(\beta)} - \frac{M}{m} \left\{ \tan(\beta) - \tanh(\beta) \right\} = 0
\]

(16)

Substituting equation (14) into equation (10), multiplying it by \( \varphi(x) \) and then integrating the resulting equation over the dimensionless domain, the following non-linear ordinary differential equation is obtained.

\[
\begin{align*}
d^2 w(t) & + \left( \frac{c_i}{c_i} \right) \frac{dw(t)}{dt} + \left( \frac{c_i}{c_i} \right) w(t) \\
& + \left( \frac{c_i}{c_i} \right) w(t)^3 + \left( \frac{c_i}{c_i} \right) w(t) u(t) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

(17)

Furthermore, by substituting equation (14) into equation (11), the following equation is obtained for the axial displacement of the beam tip.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2} + (1/\lambda_1) u(t) + (d_2/\lambda_1) w(t)^2 & = 0
\end{align*}
\]

(18)

In equations (17) and (18), \( c_i \) (\( i = 1 \) to 5) and \( d_2 \) are defined as follows.

\[
c_1 = \int_0^1 (\varphi(x))^2 + \sigma_2 \varphi(x)^2 \hat{f}(1) \, dx
\]

(19)

\[
c_2 = C_i \int_0^1 \varphi(x)^2 \, dx
\]

(20)

\[
c_3 = \int_0^1 \varphi(x)^2 \frac{d^2 \varphi(x)}{dx^2} \, dx
\]

(21)

\[
c_4 = -\frac{\sigma_2}{2\sigma_1} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{d\varphi(x)}{dx} \right)^2 \, dx
\]

(22)

\[
c_5 = -\frac{\sigma_1}{2\sigma_1} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{d\varphi(x)}{dx} \right)^2 \, dx
\]

(23)

\[
d_2 = \frac{1}{2\sigma_1} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{d\varphi(x)}{dx} \right)^2 \, dx
\]

(24)

In order for the coefficients of equations (17) and (18) to appear at the same order, the following dimensionless variable is introduced.

\[
\tau = t/\sqrt{\lambda_1}
\]

(25)

Substituting equation (25) into equations (17) and (18), the following equations are obtained.

\[
\begin{align*}
d^2 w(\tau) & + \omega_m^2 w(\tau) + C_i \frac{dw(\tau)}{d\tau} + C_3 w(\tau)^3 \\
& + C_4 w(\tau) u(\tau) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

(26)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^2 u(\tau)}{d\tau^2} + u(\tau) + d_2 w(\tau)^2 & = 0
\end{align*}
\]

(27)

where \( \omega_m \), \( C_i \)'s (\( i = 1 \) to 3) and \( D_j \)'s (\( j = 1 \) to 3) are defined as

\[
\omega_m = \sqrt{\frac{c_i A_i}{C_i}} \quad ; \quad C_i = \frac{c_i}{c_1} \sqrt{\lambda_1} \quad ; \quad C_2 = \frac{c_i A_2}{c_1} \quad ; \quad C_3 = \frac{c_i A_3}{c_1}
\]

(28)

It should be noted that the natural frequency \( \omega_m \) in equation (26) is not the actual frequency but instead a normalized one.
3. SOLUTION PROCEDURE

A beam with a tip mass with characteristics given in Table 1 is considered.

Table 1: Characteristics of the simulated beam and its tip mass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>symbol</th>
<th>definition</th>
<th>value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Young’s Modulus of elasticity of the beam material</td>
<td>69 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>Density of the beam material</td>
<td>7800 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>Beam’s length</td>
<td>0.15 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Beam’s width</td>
<td>0.015 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>Beam’s thickness</td>
<td>0.001 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tip mass</td>
<td>0.050 kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To provide a sense of the order of magnitude of the intermediate parameters defined in the paper, their values are compiled Table 2.

Table 2: Values of the intermediate parameters defined in the analysis. Parameters listed without any units represent normalized quantities.

| A      | = 1.5 × 10⁻⁵ m²       | c₁ = 13.033 |
| l      | = 1.25 × 10⁻¹² m⁴     | c₂ = 13.729 |
| m      | = 1.755 × 10⁻² kg      | c₃ = 8.845 × 10⁻⁵ |
| σ₁     | = 2.7 × 10⁻⁵           | c₄ = 1.477 × 10⁶ |
| σ₂     | = 2.849               | d₁ = 0.598 |
| λ₁     | = 1.055 × 10⁻⁵         | ω₂ = 3.334 × 10⁻³ |
| β      | = 0.993               | C₁ = 0.716 |
| C₁     | = 0.001               | C₂ = 1.196 |

In addition, the damping coefficient C₁ is selected such that the final damping coefficient becomes C₁ = 0.001 (a nominal value). For a practical choice of dimensions, as listed in Table 1, even though the non-normalized natural frequency is finite (equal to 37.6 rad/s), the normalized natural frequency ω₂ in equation (26) is very small. This is simply a consequence of the fact that time is normalized via equation (25) using the natural frequency of the axial direction dynamics, given by eq. (18).

In the next section, HPM is used in parallel with the multiple time scale perturbation method to solve the non-linear system of ODE’s given in equations (26) and (27).

3-2. SOLUTION PROCEDURE

Now, HPM is utilized in parallel with the multiple time scale perturbation method to derive analytical closed form solutions for equations (26) and (27). To do so, the homotopy forms \( \mathcal{Z}_i(P, w(\tau), u(\tau)) \) for \( i = 1 \) and 2 are constructed as

\[
\mathcal{Z}_1(P, w(\tau), u(\tau)) = \frac{d^2w(\tau)}{d\tau^2} + \omega_2^2 w(\tau) + P C_1 \frac{dw(\tau)}{d\tau} + P^2 (C_2 w(\tau)^2 + C_3 w(\tau) u(\tau)) = 0
\]

\[
\mathcal{Z}_2(P, w(\tau), u(\tau)) = \frac{d^2u(\tau)}{d\tau^2} + u(\tau) + PD_1 w(\tau)^2 = 0
\]

At this step, the independent variable \( \tau \) is expanded in terms of multiple time scales \( T_0 = \tau \) and \( T_1 = P \tau \) so that the first and the second time derivatives become

\[
\frac{d}{d\tau}(\cdot) = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}(\cdot) + P \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1}(\cdot)
\]

\[
\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2}(\cdot) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_0^2}(\cdot) + 2P \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_0 \partial T_1}(\cdot) + P^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_1^2}(\cdot)
\]

The solution of equations (29) and (30) are sought in the form

\[
w(T_0, T_1) = w_0(T_0, T_1) + P w_1(T_0, T_1)
\]

\[
u(T_0, T_1) = u_0(T_0, T_1) + P u_1(T_0, T_1)
\]

By substituting equations (31), (32), (33) and (34) into the homotopy forms and equating the coefficients of like powers of \( P \), the following equations are obtained.

\[P^0:
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 w_0(T_0, T_1)}{\partial T_0^2} + \omega_2^2 w_0(T_0, T_1) = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 u_0(T_0, T_1)}{\partial T_0^2} + u_0(T_0, T_1) = 0
\]

\[P^1:
\]

\[
-2 \frac{\partial^2 w_1(T_0, T_1)}{\partial T_0 \partial T_1} - C_1 \frac{\partial w_0(T_0, T_1)}{\partial T_0} + \omega_2^2 w_1(T_0, T_1) = -2 \frac{\partial^2 u_1(T_0, T_1)}{\partial T_0 \partial T_1} - C_1 \frac{\partial u_0(T_0, T_1)}{\partial T_0}
\]

Equations (35) and (36) constitute a system of linear ordinary differential equations with constant coefficients and their solution can be written as:

\[
w_0(T_0, T_1) = A_1(T_1) \exp(i \omega_0 T_0) + B_1(T_1) \exp(-i \omega_0 T_0)
\]

\[
u_0(T_0, T_1) = B_1(T_1) \exp(i \omega_0 T_0) + A_1(T_1) \exp(-i \omega_0 T_0)
\]

where \( A_1(T_1) \) and \( B_1(T_1) \) are complex functions and \( \bar{A}_1(T_1) \) and \( \bar{B}_1(T_1) \) are the complex conjugate of \( A_1(T_1) \) and \( B_1(T_1) \) respectively.
Substituting equations (39) and (40) into equations (37) and (38), these equations can be solved easily using the theory of linear ODEs. But any particular solution of equations (37) and (38), contains a secular term, $T_0 \exp(\pm \omega_0 T_0)$ and $T_0 \exp(\pm i \omega_0 T_0)$ unless the coefficients of $T_0 \exp(i \omega_0 T_0)$ and $T_0 \exp(i T_0)$ in the right hand side of equations (37) and (38) are zero respectively. Therefore the following equations have to be satisfied in order to avoid any secular term in the response.

\[ 2 I \omega_n \frac{dA_i(T_i)}{dT_i} + I \omega_n C_i A_i(T_i) = 0 \]  
(41)

\[ 2 I \frac{dB_i(T_i)}{dT_i} = 0 \]  
(42)

For solving equations (41) and (42), it is convenient to write $A_i(T_i)$ and $B_i(T_i)$ in the form

\[ A_i(T_i) = \frac{1}{2} a_i(T_i) \exp(\alpha_i(T_i) I) \]  
(43)

\[ B_i(T_i) = \frac{1}{2} b_i(T_i) \exp(\beta_i(T_i) I) \]  
(44)

By substituting equations (43) and (44) into equations (41) and (42), making the necessary simplifications and equating both the real and imaginary parts of these equations with zero, the following equations are obtained.

\[ \frac{d a_i(T_i)}{dT_i} + \frac{1}{2} C_i a_i(T_i) = 0 \]  
(45)

\[ \frac{d a_i(T_i)}{dT_i} = 0 \]  
(46)

\[ \frac{d b_i(T_i)}{dT_i} = 0 \]  
(47)

\[ \frac{d b_i(T_i)}{dT_i} = 0 \]  
(48)

Equations (45) to (48) can be solved consequently and the results would be as follows.

\[ a_i(T_i) = a_i \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} C_i T_i\right) \]  
(49)

\[ a_i(T_i) = a_i \]  
(50)

\[ b_i(T_i) = b_i \]  
(51)

\[ \beta_i(T_i) = \beta_i \]  
(52)

Using equations (39), (40), (43), (44), (49), (50), (51) and (52), $w_0(T_0, T_i)$ and $u_0(T_0, T_i)$ are obtained as follows.

\[ w_0(T_0, T_i) = a_i \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} C_i T_i\right) \cos(\omega_0 T_0 + \alpha_i) \]  
(53)

\[ u_0(T_0, T_i) = b_i \cos(T_0 + \beta_i) \]  
(54)

As seen later, a zero order approximation is sufficient for predicting the time domain behavior of $w(\tau)$, but for accurate prediction of the behavior of $u(\tau)$ at least a first order perturbation approximation is required. So, by substituting equations (53) and (54) into equation (38) and solving the resulting equation, $u_i(T_0, T_i)$ is obtained as equation (55).

\[ u_i(T_0, T_i) = -D_i a_i^2 \exp(-C_i T_i) \left(1 + \frac{\cos(2\omega_0 T_0 + 2\alpha_i)}{1 - 4\omega^2}\right) \]  
(55)

By substituting $T_0 = \tau$ into equation (53) and substituting equations (54), (55), $P = I$, $T_0 = \tau$ and $T_i = \tau$ into equation (34), the zero order and first order approximate solutions for $w(\tau)$ and $u(\tau)$, respectively, are obtained as follows.

\[ w(\tau) = a_i \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} C_i \tau^2\right) \cos(\omega_0 \tau + \alpha_i) \]  
(56)

\[ u(\tau) = b_i \cos(\tau + \beta_i) + \frac{-D_i a_i^2 \exp(-C_i \tau) }{2} \left(1 + \frac{\cos(2\omega_0 \tau + 2\alpha_i)}{1 - 4\omega^2}\right) \]  
(57)

Figure 2 and Figure 3 compares the result of the presented analytical model with the numerical simulation for an undamped and a damped system respectively. It is observed that when there is no internal resonance in the system, the analytical results well follow the numerical ones and as a result, the presented analysis can be used to investigate the dynamics of flexure beams in flexure mechanisms.

In Figure 2 (b) and Figure 3 (b), the normalized axial displacement is composed of a large-amplitude, low-frequency component and a small-amplitude, high-frequency component. The former is due to the effect of the transverse vibration of the beam on its axial vibration while the latter is the direct conse-

![Figure 2](image_url)
Figure 3: Comparison of the analytical results with numerical simulations for a damped system with $C_i = 0.001$ and initial conditions $w(0) = 0.1$ and $u(0) = -0.006$.

sequence of the large axial stiffness of the beam. Zoomed views of the latter component are shown in Figure 4. At higher values of normalized time, the difference between the results is due to a slight difference between the frequency of the numerical and analytical solutions.

Next, one may mathematically analyze the case when $\omega_n$ is close to 1/2, which represents a condition of internal resonance in the system of non-linear ordinary differential equations given by (26) and (27). It is important to note that this normalized value of $\omega_n$ actually corresponds to a natural frequency of 5874 rad/sec. At such large frequencies, the approximations made in deriving equations (26) and (27) break down. To accurately analyze the dynamics of the system in this frequency range, several transverse and axial modes will need to be considered and the axial kinetic energy cannot be ignored. Therefore, solving the above equations for the case when $\omega_n \approx 1/2$ is a strictly mathematical exercise and of little physical relevance. Nevertheless, a closed-form solution is presented here for the sake of completeness.

In the case $\omega_n \approx 1/2$, the nearness of $\omega_n$ to 1/2 can be expressed as follows.

$$\omega_n = \frac{1}{2} + P\sigma$$

which leads to

$$\exp(\pm i\omega_n T_\tau) = \exp(\pm iT_\tau)\exp(\pm i\sigma T_\tau)$$

By using equations (39) and (40) and substituting equations (59) and (60) into the right hand side of equations (37) and (38) respectively, the terms capable of producing secular terms are obtained as

$$2I_0\omega_n \frac{dA_i(T_i)}{dT_i} + IC_i A_i(T_i)\omega_n = 0$$

$$2I_0 \frac{dB_i(T_i)}{dT_i} + D_i A_i(T_i)^2 \exp(2i\sigma T_i) = 0$$

Substituting equations (43) and (44) into equations (61) and (62) and equating the real and imaginary parts to zero gives

$$\frac{d\alpha_i(T_i)}{dT_i} = 0$$

$$\frac{d\beta_i(T_i)}{dT_i} + \frac{1}{4} D_i a_i(T_i)^2 \sin(2\alpha_i(T_i)) = 0$$

$$-\beta_i(T_i) + 2\sigma T_i = 0$$

$$-b_i(T_i) \frac{d\beta_i(T_i)}{dT_i} + \frac{1}{4} D_i a_i(T_i)^2 \cos(2\alpha_i(T_i)) = 0$$

$$-\beta_i(T_i) + 2\sigma T_i = 0$$
Equations (63) to (66) can be transformed into an autonomous system by letting
\[ \gamma_i(T_i) = 2\alpha_i(T_i) - \beta_i(T_i) + 2\sigma T_i \] (67)
The results are
\[ \frac{da_i(T_i)}{dT_i} = -\frac{1}{2} C_1 a_i(T_i) \] (68)
\[ \frac{d\gamma_i(T_i)}{dT_i} = 2\sigma - \frac{d\beta_i(T_i)}{dT_i} \] (69)
\[ \frac{db_i(T_i)}{dT_i} = -\frac{1}{4} D_i a_i(T_i)^2 \sin(\gamma_i(T_i)) \] (70)
\[ b_1(T_i) \frac{d\beta_1(T_i)}{dT_i} = \frac{1}{4} D_i a_i(T_i)^2 \cos(\gamma_i(T_i)) \] (71)

By solving equations (68) to (71), one can find \( a_i(T_i), \gamma_i(T_i), b_i(T_i) \) and \( \beta_i(T_i) \).

After eliminating secular terms, the solution of equations (37) and (38) becomes
\[ w_i(T_i, T_j) = 0 \] (72)
\[ u_i(T_i, T_j) = -\frac{1}{2} D_i a_i(T_i)^2 \] (73)

Using equations (53), (54), (72) and (73), the final solution for \( w(\tau) \) and \( u(\tau) \) would be
\[ w(\tau) = a_1 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} C_1 \tau \right) \cos(\alpha_1 T_0 + \alpha_1(\tau)) \] (74)
\[ u(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2} D_1 a_1^2 \exp\left(-C_1 \tau \right) + b_1(\tau) \cos(\tau + \beta_1(\tau)) \] (75)

4. CONCLUSION

The importance of analytically studying the dynamics of flexure mechanisms to better inform their design and optimization is well-recognized. However, such an investigation is complicated by the fact that in many applications, geometric non-linearities in flexure mechanics play an important role in the dynamics of the system. As a starting point in a broader investigation, we have modeled a simple beam flexure with a tip mass in this paper and analyzed its large amplitude in-plane oscillations. In particular, axial stretching and geometric non-linearity associated with arc-length conservation are included. Analytical zero-order and first order expressions for the beam tip displacement have been derived and presented. Comparison of these analytical results with the numerical simulations was used to validate the accuracy of the presented closed-form solution approach. While the approximations and assumptions made in this solution approach are justified by physical and mathematical arguments, the final results are yet to be validated via experimental measurements. In addition to experimental validation, our ongoing research effort includes extending the above analysis approach to include greater number of modes shapes in a single beam with tip mass and to more complex flexure modules and mechanisms.
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